

Research Career Development Grant 2024: Reviewer's feedback form

Project title:	
Reviewer's name:	
Declaration of conflict of interest (if any)	

For each of the following sections, please tick the most appropriate box and give comments

1. Skills of the applicant				
Does the applicant demonstrate a sufficient level of skills to complete the project described?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				
2. Benefit to professional development of the applicant(s)				
Is the scope and ambition of the project appropriate to facilitate the next steps in the development of the applicant's research skills and abilities?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				
3. Fit with the Top 10 priorities for occupational therapy research in the UK				
Does the project clearly address one or more of Top 10 research priorities for occupational therapy in the UK? (The research priorities can be found here: https://www.rcot.co.uk/top-10 .)				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

4. Inclusion of and impact on people who access services, and/or their families or carers

Does the project have a considered, realistic plan for public and patient involvement in both the design and execution of the research? Does it propose an impact that will benefit people who access services and/or their families or carers?

Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
---------------	----------	----------	----------	----------------

Comments:

5. Equity, diversity and belonging

Does the proposed project take account of diverse populations, inclusive of socioeconomic groups, and how to include them appropriately in the project?

Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
---------------	----------	----------	----------	----------------

Comments:

6. Methodology

Is the proposed methodology sound and ethical?

Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
---------------	----------	----------	----------	----------------

Comments:

7. Funding and support				
Does the project appear feasible, offer good value for money, and have appropriate support from the host institution?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				
8. Overall recommendation for support				
Highly recommended		Recommended		Not recommended
Additional comments for the RCOT Research Foundation Advisory Group:				
Comment for feedback to the applicant:				

Please provide a final score from questions 1-7.

Total score: ____/28

SEE BELOW FOR DATA CONSENT REQUEST

CONSENT REQUEST

RCOT would like to keep a record of Research Foundation reviewers so we know who has reviewed an application in recent years. The information recorded would include your name, email address and the title of the application reviewed, and would be kept for three years. Please tick this box if you consent for this information about you to be collected: ☐

